LA1#302476\092591-303043

TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441 and 1446, Defendant AIO Acquisition, Inc. ("AIO") hereby removes the above-captioned action, presently pending in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles, as Case No. BC 457512, to the United States District of California, Los Angeles Division. As grounds for removal to this Court, AIO states as follows:

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 1. On March 16, 2011, Plaintiff Julian Rosenberg filed a class action against AIO in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles, entitled Rosenberg v. AIO Acquisition, Inc., Case No. BC 457512. A true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 2. True and correct copies of all documents filed in the state court action, included but not limited to, copies of the Civil Cover Sheets, Summonses, or other evidence of service are attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 3. On March 22, 2011, Plaintiff personally served a copy of the Complaint and summons on AIO. This Notice of Removal is timely under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b) because it is filed within 30 days after any defendant was served with a copy of the Complaint and corresponding summons.
- 4. This Court is the appropriate court to which the action must be removed because it is part of the "district and division embracing the place where" Plaintiffs filed this action in Los Angeles, California. 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a).
- 5. A copy of this Notice of Removal will be filed contemporaneously with the Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles, and will be served contemporaneously on all counsel of record, as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).

FEDERAL JURISDICTION UNDER THE CLASS ACTION FAIRNESS ACT

- 6. This Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"), Public Law 109-2, codified at 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d).
- 7. CAFA creates federal jurisdiction over lawsuits (1) in which "the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs," (2) "in which...any member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from any defendant, and (3) that involve a putative class that consists of more than 100 members." 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d)(2)(A) and (d)(5). Each of these three requirements is met.

Requirement No. 1: The Amount in Controversy Exceeds \$5,000,000.

- 8. "Where the complaint does not specify the amount of damages sought, the removing defendant must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the amount in controversy requirement has been met." Abrego Abrego v. The Dow Chemical Co., 443 F.3d 676, 683 (9th Cir. 2006). "Under this burden, the defendant must provide evidence that it is 'more likely than not' that the amount in controversy satisfies the federal diversity jurisdictional amount." Id. Here, Plaintiff does not seek a specified amount in damages, so AIO's burden is to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the amount in controversy in the Complaint exceeds \$5 million. AIO easily satisfies its burden.
- 9. When determining the amount in controversy, "[t]he question is not what damages the plaintiff will recover, but what amount is 'in controversy' between the parties. That the plaintiff may fail in its proof, and the judgment be less than the threshold (indeed, a good chance that the plaintiff will fail and the judgment will be zero) does not prevent removal." Brill v. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc., 427 F.3d 446, 449 (7th Cir. 2005). "The demonstration concerns what the plaintiff is claiming (and thus the amount in controversy between the parties), not whether plaintiff is likely to win or be awarded everything." Id.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 10. AIO disputes Plaintiff's claims, objects to any motion seeking class certification, and denies any and all liability. However, for purposes of removal, AIO is able to demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff's demand is in excess of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 11. Plaintiffs seek to pursue this action on behalf of "[all persons located in California who received a telephone call from AIO whose telephone conversations were eavesdropped upon, bugged, wiretapped and/or recorded by Defendants, without Class member's knowledge and/or consent, after the date one (1) year prior to the filing of this Action." (Complaint at ¶ 28.) Plaintiff asserts the following two causes of action on behalf of himself and the putative class: (1) invasion of privacy (Cal. Penal Code § 630 et. seq.), and (2) negligence. Plaintiff demands "statutory damages of \$5,000 per violation pursuant to California Penal Code section 637.2." (Complaint, Relief Requested, § (c), p.10.)
- 12. Since at least March 16, 2010, AIO has called approximately 4,830 individuals in California each day. (Williamson Decl., ¶ 2.) During each of these calls, a beep tone recurs periodically to warn all participants that the call is being recorded. (Id.) On March 2, 2011, AIO placed one such call to a business, spoke to Plaintiff, and recorded the conversation. (Id. at ¶ 3.) During this call, a beep tone sounded throughout the call. (Id.) AIO records each of the thousands of calls per day it makes to California, warning each participant of the recording with a recurring beep tone. (Id. at $\P 2$.)
- 13. The Complaint demands \$5,000 for each recorded call without a warning. In spite of the beep tone, Plaintiff alleges that AIO recorded his call without warning him. Because AIO recorded thousands of calls each day with a beep tone, the Complaint's demand for \$5,000 per call puts the amount in controversy well over \$5 million. Indeed, only 1,001 calls must be in dispute to reach the \$5 million threshold. See Saulic v. Symantec Corp., 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 96640, *19-21 (C.D. Cal. 2007) (action properly removed under CAFA and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million where "the maximum civil penalty is identified in the statute and the same amount (\$1,000.00) is requested by plaintiff in the complaint As a result, defendants must simply show there are at least 5,001 putative class claims in order to prove the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 and therefore meets CAFA's jurisdictional requirement").

Requirement No. 2: The Class Members Are Citizens of a State Different Than AIO.

- Parties to a class action are diverse where "any member of a class of 14. plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from any defendant." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A). "[A] corporation shall be deemed to be a citizen of any State by which it has been incorporated and of the State where it has its principal place of business." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1). A corporation's "principal place of business" is "best read as referring to the place where a corporation's officers direct, control, and coordinate the corporation's activities." Hertz Corp. v. Friend, 559 U.S. 130 S. Ct. 1181, 1192 (2010). The principal place of business is "the corporation's 'nerve center.' And in practice it should normally be the place where the corporation maintains its headquarters" – the place that is the "actual center of direction, control, and coordination." Id.
- 15. Plaintiff is a citizen of California, and he seeks to represent only those individuals who reside in California. (Complaint ¶¶ 8, 28.) AIO is organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of Wisconsin. (Williamson Decl., ¶ 4.) Each officer and director of AIO resides and works in Wisconsin, where all of the high level decisions about the company are made. (Id.) Accordingly, AIO is a citizen of Delaware and/or Wisconsin for purposes of diversity jurisdiction. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

16. The supposed defendants named as "Does 1 through 50, inclusive" are sued under fictitious names whose alleged citizenship is to be disregarded. 28 U.S.C. § 1444. The diversity requirement, therefore, is satisfied.

Requirement No. 3: The Class Consists of Over 100 Class Members.

As explained above, since at least March 16, 2010, AIO has placed 17. thousands of telephone calls each day to individuals in California. (Williamson Decl. at ¶ 2.) Each of these calls have been recorded with recurring beep tones. (Id.) Therefore, the putative class includes well over 100 members.

The Court is not Required to Decline Jurisdiction.

- CAFA requires district courts to decline to exercise jurisdiction over 18. statewide class actions in certain circumstances. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(4). However, unless more than two-thirds of the members of the class and at least one defendant, are from the state in which the action was originally filed, then the district court is not required to decline jurisdiction. Id.
- Here, AIO is not a citizen of California and the entire class is within 19. California. Accordingly, the Court is not required to decline jurisdiction.

The Exercise of Jurisdiction Here Is Not Discretionary.

- 20. CAFA permits district courts to decline to exercise jurisdiction over statewide class actions in only limited circumstances. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(3). A court is required to weigh various policy concerns (e.g., interstate interests, choice of law, forum shopping) to determine whether it will exercise jurisdiction only where "greater than one-third but less than two-thirds of the members" of the class and the primary defendants "are citizens of the State in which the action was originally filed." Id.
- Here, AIO is not a citizen of California and the entire class is within 21. California, so the Court is not required to weigh competing interests in order to determine whether it should assert jurisdiction.

VENABLE LLP

WHEREFORE, AIO respectfully removes this action from the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 457512, to the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Los Angeles Division. AIO prays that: (1) this Court proceed in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447, as if this action had been originally been filed in this Court; and (2) that further proceedings in the state court action be stayed in all respects.

DATED:

April 19, 2011

VENABLE LLP

Attorneys for Defendant AIO ACQUISITION, INC.

() KENNETH S. GAINES, ESQ. SBN 049045 1 CONFORMED COPY DANIEL F. GAINES, ESQ. SBN 251488 ORIGINAL FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES ALEX P. KATOFSKY, ESQ. SBN 202754 2 GAINES & GAINES, APLC 3 21550 Oxnard Street, Suite 980 MAR 16 2011 Woodland Hills, CA 91367 Telephone: (818) 703-8985 Classe. Executive Officer/Clerk Facsimile: (818) 703-8984 5 _. Deputy Attorneys for Plaintiff Julian Rosenberg, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, and 6 on behalf of the general public 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 10 BC 457512 11 JULIAN ROSENBERG, on behalf of himself CASE NO: and all others similarly situated, and on behalf Assigned to the Honorable 12 of the general public, Department 13 Plaintiff, CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR 14 DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: AIO ACQUISITION, INC., a Delaware 1) INVASION OF PRIVACY (CAL. PENAL corporation, d/b/a PERSONNEL CONCEPTS, CODE § 630 ET. SEQ.) 16 and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, 2) NEGLIGENCE 17 Defendants. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 18 19 Plaintiff Julian Rosenberg ("ROSENBERG" or "Plaintiff"), an individual, on behalf of himself 20 and on behalf of all others similarly situated (the "Plaintiff Class"), hereby alleges as follows: 21 INTRODUCTION 22 Defendant AIO ACQUISITION, INC., a Delaware corporation doing business as 23 PERSONNEL CONCEPTS ("AIO"), markets and sells employment law posters to employers and 24 consumers across California, the United States, and other countries. AlO aggressively pursues its 25 potential customers by making telephone solicitations employing pushy and potentially deceptive 26 sales techniques. 27 In connection with these outgoing telephone communications, without providing 2. 28 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

notice to, or obtaining the consent of, Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class (as defined herein), AIO engages in a practice of monitoring and recording confidential telephone communications between Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class, on the one hand, and AIO and its representatives, on the other hand.

- 3. AIO and its representatives and/or agents engaged in a telephone communication with Plaintiff in an attempt to solicit business from him and/or his employer in March 2011. Based on information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that said telephone conversation was secretly recorded and/or monitored by AIO, without first providing Plaintiff notice and without first obtaining his consent to record and/or monitor the telephone conversation. During the course of his conversation with AIO, Plaintiff disclosed sensitive personal identifying information and confidential financial information.
- 4. AIO's practice of monitoring, recording and/or eavesdropping on Plaintiff and Plaintiff Class members' telephone conversations violated, and continues to violate California Penal Code § 630 et seq.
- 5. Plaintiff is a member of the Plaintiff Class because his telephone conversations with AIO and its representatives were, without the knowledge or consent of the Plaintiff, eavesdropped upon, bugged, wiretapped, and/or recorded by AIO.
- 6. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action seeking all appropriate damages and remedies available to him and members of the Plaintiff Class proposed herein, including but not limited to injunctive relief pursuant to *Penal Code* § 637.2(b).
- 7. All allegations in this complaint are based upon information and belief except for those allegations which pertain to ROSENBERG and his counsel. ROSENBERG's information and belief is based upon, *inter alia*, an investigation conducted to date by ROSENBERG and his counsel.

THE PARTIES

8. Plaintiff ROSENBERG is an individual and a resident of the County of Los Angeles, State of California. ROSENBERG had telephonic communications with certain

employees, agents, officers, and/or directors of AIO. ROSENBERG is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each of these conversations referenced herein was, without ROSENBERG's knowledge or consent, bugged, recorded, wiretapped, and/or eavesdropped upon by Defendants, and each of them, all to ROSENBERG's harm and damage.

- 9. ROSENBERG is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that AIO is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, legally operating and authorized to legally operate and conduct business under the laws of the State of Delaware.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendant AIO has its principal place of business in the State of California.
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the wrongful acts alleged herein by AIO were performed or occurred in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, and throughout the State of California.
- 12. ROSENBERG is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that additional calls have been bugged, recorded, wiretapped, and/or eavesdropped upon by Defendants, and each of them, without ROSENBERG's and Plaintiff Class members' consent, originating from various locations within the State of California and placed to Plaintiff and other Plaintiff Class members within the State of California.
- otherwise, of Defendants DOES 1 through 50 inclusive, and each them, are unknown to ROSENBERG, who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. ROSENBERG is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief hereby alleges, that each of the Defendants fictitiously named herein as a DOE is legally responsible, negligently or in some other manner, for the events and happenings hereinafter referred to and proximately caused the damages to Plaintiff and Plaintiff Class members as hereinafter alleged. ROSENBERG will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to insert the true names and/or capacities of such fictitiously named Defendants when the same have been ascertained.
 - 14. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that at all times

15:

mentioned herein, Defendants, and each of them, including DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, were the agents, servants, employees, and/or joint venturers of their co-Defendants, and were, as such, acting within the course, scope, and authority of said agency, employment and/or venture, and with the knowledge, consent, permission, and/or ratification of each other, and that each Defendant, as aforesaid, when acting as alleged herein, acted in concert to commit the acts complained of herein and to engage in a course of conduct in the business practices complained of herein.

ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- 15. ROSENBERG is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that at all times pertinent herein, Defendants maintained call centers located throughout the United States from which its employees and consumers were prompted to telephone and/or called consumers and employers to buy employment law posters.
- 16. Defendants' employees and agents at these call centers received incoming calls from consumers and placed outgoing calls to consumers who resided in California.
- 17. ROSENBERG is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants had a policy and practice of recording and/or monitoring these telephone conversations with California consumers.
- 18. ROSENBERG is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants' employees and agents at these call centers were directed, trained and instructed to, and did, record, monitor and/or eavesdrop upon these telephone conversations with California consumers.
- 19. Defendants intentionally installed and/or caused to be installed certain wiretapping, eavesdropping and bugging equipment in Defendants' telephone lines. ROSENBERG is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that all these devices were maintained and utilized to overhear, record and bug each and every telephone conversation on said telephone lines.
- 20. ROSENBERG is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that these calls were recorded, monitored and/or eavesdropped upon without knowledge or consent of consumers.
 - 21. ROSENBERG was never informed that his calls with Defendants were being

recorded and/or monitored.

- During the course of these telephone conversations with employees and agents of Defendants, ROSENBERG revealed sensitive, private, and confidential information, including personal and financial information. Plaintiff did so with a reasonable expectation of privacy in those telephone conversations.
- 23. The wiretapping, bugging, and eavesdropping equipment mentioned herein was used to listen to the telephone conversations of the Plaintiff and proposed class members; this violated California Penal Code § 630 et seq.
- 24. During March 2011, Defendants engaged in telephone conversations with Plaintiff ROSENBERG while he was located in the State of California. During those calls, ROSENBERG spoke to an individual acting on behalf of AIO, and in doing so he disclosed sensitive, personal identifying information.
- 25. At no time during his conversations was ROSENBERG informed by AlO, or by anyone, that his telephone calls were being eavesdropped upon, wiretapped, recorded and/or monitored. At no time did ROSENBERG give consent for his telephone calls to be eavesdropped upon, wiretapped, recorded and/or monitored by Defendants.
- 26. ROSENBERG had a reasonable expectation that the telephone conversations were, and would remain, private and confidential, and he did not expect that his telephone communications with AIO were being overheard or recorded by anyone else. Such recording and/or monitoring was and is highly offensive to ROSENBERG and would be highly offensive to a reasonable person, including members of the Plaintiff Class proposed herein.
- 27. ROSENBERG thereafter learned that telephone conversations between AIO and consumers, including those telephone conversations with ROSENBERG and members of the Plaintiff Class, are recorded and/or monitored.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

28. ROSENBERG brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated as a Class Action pursuant to § 382 of the Code of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff seeks to

represent a class composed of and defined as follows:

All persons located in California who received a telephone call from AIO and whose telephone conversations were eavesdropped upon, bugged, wiretapped and/or recorded by Defendants, without that Class member's knowledge and/or consent, after the date one (1) year prior to the filing of this Action.

- 29. Plaintiff reserves the right under Rule 3.765, California Rules of Court, to amend or modify the class description with greater specificity or further division into subclasses or limitation to particular issues.
- 30. This action has been brought and may properly be maintained as a class action under the provisions of § 382 of the *Code of Civil Procedure* because there is a well-defined community of interest in the litigation and the proposed Class is easily ascertainable.

A. Numerosity

- 31. The potential members of the proposed Class as defined are so numerous that joinder of all the members of the proposed Class is impracticable. While the precise number of proposed Plaintiff Class members has not been determined at this time, ROSENBERG is informed and believes that the proposed class encompasses over two hundred fifty (250) members.
- 32. Plaintiff alleges Defendants' records will provide information as to the number and location of all proposed Plaintiff Class members. Joinder of all members of the proposed Class is not practicable.

B. Commonality

- 33. There are questions of law and fact common to the proposed Class that predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members. These common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:
 - Whether AIO had a policy of wiretapping, eavesdropping upon and/or monitoring its outgoing calls made to California consumers;
 - b. Whether AIO implemented its policy of wiretapping, eavesdropping upon

- and/or monitoring its outgoing calls made to California consumers;
- c. Whether AIO's policy of wiretapping, eavesdropping upon and/or monitoring its outgoing calls made to California consumers constitutes a violation of California Penal Code § 631(a), 632(a) and/or 637;
- d. Whether AIO disclosed to California callers that their telephone conversations were being wiretapped, eavesdropped upon and/or monitored;
- e. Whether Class members consented to wiretapping, eavesdropping upon and/or monitoring of such calls; and
- f. Whether the named Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to recover damages and the measure of such damages; and
- g. Whether the named Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to injunctive relief pursuant to *Penal Code* § 637.2(b).

C. Typicality

34. The claims of the named Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the proposed Class. Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff Class sustained injuries and damages arising out of and caused by Defendants' common course of conduct in violation of laws, regulations that have the force and effect of law, and statutes as alleged herein.

D. Adequacy of Representation

35. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the members of the proposed Plaintiff Class. Counsel who represents Plaintiff is competent and experienced in class action litigation.

E. Superiority of Class Action

36. A class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Individual joinder of all proposed Plaintiff Class members is not practicable, and questions of law and fact common to the proposed Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the proposed Class. Each member of the proposed Class has been damaged and is entitled to recovery by reason of Defendants' illegal policies and/or

practices.

37. Class action treatment will allow those similarly situated persons to litigate their claims in the manner that is most efficient and economical for the parties and the judicial system. Plaintiff is unaware of any difficulties that are likely to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

PLAINTIFF AND THE PLAINTIFF CLASS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS INVASION OF PRIVACY (CAL. PENAL CODE § 630 ET. SEQ.)

- 38. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 37 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 39. Defendants, and each of them, installed and/or caused to be installed certain wiretapping, eavesdropping and bugging equipment on their telephone lines.
- 40. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that all these devices were maintained and utilized to overhear, record or bug each and every outgoing telephone conversation over said telephone lines.
- 41. The aforementioned wiretapping, bugging, and eavesdropping equipment was used to listen to the telephone conversations between ROSENBERG and members of the Plaintiff Class, on one hand, and the Defendants, on the other, all in violation of California Penal Code § 632(a).
- 42. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each of the aforesaid telephone communications, and the recordings thereof, were disseminated by and between the Defendants, and each of them, all in violation of California Penal Code § 630 et. seq.
- 43. At no time at the onset or during these telephone conversations did Defendants, or any of them, or any of their employees, agents, managers, officers or directors, or any other person, inform ROSENBERG or any members of the Plaintiff Class that the interceptions, eavesdropping, wiretapping, bugging and recording of their telephone conversations were taking place, and at no time did ROSENBERG or any members of the Plaintiff Class consent to this activity.

- 44. Defendants, and each of them, knowing that it was unlawful and a violation of California Penal Code § 630 et seq. did intrude on ROSENBERG's and the Plaintiff Class members' privacy by knowingly and/or negligently and/or intentionally engaging in the aforementioned intercepting, eavesdropping, wiretapping, bugging and recording activities in connection with the telephone conversations between ROSENBERG and the Plaintiff Class members, on the one hand, and employees, managers, officers and directors of Defendant AIO, and all other defendants, on the other, all as aforementioned and alleged herein.
- 45. The aforesaid telephone conversations between Plaintiff and Plaintiff Class members, on the one hand, and Defendants, on the other hand, were confidential communications to which Plaintiff and Plaintiff Class members had a reasonable expectation of privacy.
- 46. Based on the foregoing, ROSENBERG and members of the Plaintiff Class are entitled to statutory damages pursuant to *California Penal Code* § 637.2 and injunctive relief pursuant to *California Penal Code* § 637.2(b).

Wherefore, ROSENBERG and the Plaintiff Class he seeks to represent request relief as described below.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

PLAINTIFF AND THE PLAINTIFF CLASS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS NEGLIGENCE

- 47. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 46 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 48. Defendants, and each of them, had various statutory and common law duties not to engage in the aforementioned wiretapping, cavesdropping, recording and bugging activities which led to the rights to privacy of ROSENBERG and Plaintiff Class members being invaded and breached.
- 49. Defendants, and each of them, negligently and recklessly engaged in the aforementioned eavesdropping, wiretapping, recording and bugging activities of ROSENBERG and the members of the Plaintiff Class.

19

21

23

25

27

28

۲	: политический по	
	1 DATED: March 15, 2011	Respectfully submitted,
	2	GAINES & GAINES A Professional Law Corporation
	3	TOTOSSIONAL DAW Comporation
	4	By:
	5	KENNETH S/GAINES DANIEL F. GAINES
	6	KENNETH S/GAINES DANIEL F. GAINES ALEX P. KATOFSKY Attorneys for Plaintiff
	7	
·· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15 16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
•	20	•
organisa naggadan eri na	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
a	25	,
83716711	26	
Ž	27	
	28	-11-

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: ALO ACQUISITION, INC., a Delaware (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): corporation, d/b/a PERSONNEL CONCEPTS, and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: JULIAN ROSENBERG, on (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public,

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE) CONFORMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES MAR 16 2011

SUM-100

John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk _, Deputy

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information

vou have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law fibrary, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can tocate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. JAVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 dlas, le corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lee le información e

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papelas legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una certe o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Cantro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le de un formularlo de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le

podrá quiter su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legeles. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpta con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California. (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamer las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que

pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es):								
Superior 111 North		of Californi Street	. ä					

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use

Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. July 1, 2009]

CASE NUMBER: (Número dei Caso)

Code of Circl Procedure \$9,412,20,465

Superior Court of (111 North Hill Str			BC 457512
(El nombre, la dirección y el n	hone number of plaintiff's attorney, o úmero de teléfono del abogado del c	demandante, o del demi	andante que no tiene abogado, es):
Daniel F. Gaines, GAINES & GAINES, A	PLĆ	(818	3) 703-8985 (818) 703-8984
21550 Oxnard Stree			
Woodland Hills, CA DATE:	91367	Clerk, by	GINA GRIDER , Deputy
(Fecha)	mmons, use Proof of Service of Sur	(Secretario)	(Adjunto)
(For proof of service of this su	mmons, use Proof of Service of Sur	nmons (form POS-010).)	
(Para prueba de entrega de el	sta citatión use el formulario Proof of		POS-010)).
	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERV		
(SEAL)	1. as an individual defenda		
, a 201'	2. as the person sued unde	,	
MAR 162011	3. on behalf of (specify): A	10 AcQUISITION,	INC., A SULAWARE CORPORATION, 3/8/A PERSONN
	under: [K] CCP 416.10 (co	rporation)	CCP 416.60 (minor)
		funct corporation)	CCP 416.70 (conservatee)
	CCP 416.40 (as	sociation or partnership	CCP 416.90 (authorized person)
	other (specify):	, ,	to the vert
<u> </u>	4. K by personal delivery on ((date);	Page 1 of 1

SUMMONS

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT ANLIMITED CIVIL CASE Cuse Number

Case Number THIS FORM IS TO BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMON AND COMPLAINT

Your case is assigned for all purposes to the judicial officer indicated below (Local Rule 7.30). There is additional information on the reverse side of this for

	DEPT	ROOM	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	DOOM	
Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl	1	534	Hon. Holly E. Kendig	42	ROOM 416	T
Hon. J. Stephen Czuleger	3	224	Hon. Mel Red Recana	45	529	+
Hon. Luis A. Lavin	13	630	Hon. Debre Katz Weintraub	47	507	+
Hon. Terry A. Green	14	300	Hon. Elizabeth Allen White	48	506	\vdash
Hon. Richard Pruin	15	307	Hon. Deirdre Hill	49	509	H
Hon. Rita Miller	16	306	Hon. John Shepard Wiley Jr.	50	508	-
Hon. Richard E. Rico	17	309	Hon. Abraham Khan	51	511	-
Hon. Rex Heeseman	19	311	Hon. Susan Bryant-Deason	52	510	
Hon. Kevin C. Brazile	20	310	Hon. John P. Shook	53	513	-
Hon. Zaven V. Sinanian	23	315	Hon. Ernest M. Hiroshige	54		_
Hon. Robert L. Hess	24	314	Hon. Malcolm H. Mackey	55	512	_
Hon. Mary Ann Murphy	25	317	Hon. Michael Johnson	56	515	
Hon. James R. Dunn	26	316	Hon. Ralph W. Dan	57	514 517	
Hon. Yvette M. Palazuelos	28	318	Hon. Rolf M. Treu	58	517	
Hon. John A. Kronstadt	30	400	Hon. David L. Minning			
Hon. Alan S. Rosenfield	31	407	Hon. Michael L. Stern	61	632 600	
Hon. Mary H. Strobel	32	406	Hon. Kenneth R. Freeman	64	601	~
Hon. Charles F. Palmer	33	409	Hon. Mark Mooney	68	617	
Hon. Amy D. Hogue	34	408	Hon. Ramona Sec	69	621	
Hon. Daniel Buckley	35	411	Hon. Soussan G. Bruguera	71	729	
Hon. Gregory Alarcon	. 36	410	Hon. Ruth Ann Kwan	72		
Hon. Joanne O'Donnell	37	413	Hon. Teresa Sanchez-Gordon	74	731	**********
Hon. Maureen Duffy-Lewis	38	412	Hon. William F. Pahey	78	735	
Hon. Michael C. Solner	39	415	Hon. Emilie H. Elias*		730	
Hon. Michelle R. Rosenblatt	40	414	other .	324	CCW,	
Hon, Ronald M. Sohigian	41	417				**********

ŗ.

ť,

All class actions are initially assigned to Judge Emilie H. Elias in Department 324 of the Central Civil West Courthouse (600 S.Commonwealth Ave., Los Angeles 900). This assignment is for the purpose of assessing whether or not the case is complex within the meaning of California Rules of Court, rule 3.400. Depending on the Outcome of that assessment, the class action case may be reassigned to one of the judges of the Complex Litigation Program or reassigned randomly to a court in the

Given to the Plaintiff/Cross-Complainant/	Attorney of Record on	JOHN A. CLARKE, Executive Officer/Clerk	
LACIV CCH 190 (Rev. 04/10) LASC Approved 05-06	NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNME UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE	By, Deputy CI	er

Sergina - V			CM-010
engeningsen van de 1911 te de 1911 te	ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, Stote Berne, Daniel F. Gaines, Esq. SBN 2	imbar, and address) 5.1.49.8	FOR COURT USE ONLY
	Alex P. Katofsky, Esq. SBN 2		EUED
	GAINES & GAINES, APLC		FILED
	21550 Oxnard Street, Suite 9	80	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
	Woodland Hills, CA 91367		
	TELEPHONE NO. (818) 703-8985 ATTORNEY FOR [Mames] Plaintiff Julian	FAXNO: (818) 703-8984 Rosenbero	MAR 1 6 2011
	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS	Angeles	John A. Clarks, Executive Officer/Clerk
	STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill St	reet	m. (1990)
	MALING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, 9001	2	BY Deputy Deputy
	CASE NAME: Rosenberg v. AIO		
	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET X Unlimited Limited	Complex Case Designation Counter Joinder	BC 457512
	(Amount (Amount		JUDGE.
	demanded demanded is exceeds \$25,000 y \$25,000 or less)	Filed with first appearance by defendar (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:
		ow must be completed (see instructions	
	1. Check one box below for the case type that I	pest describes this case:	A
	Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
	Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
	Uninsured motorist (46) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Paragraph (Cranical States and St	Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
OF CONTRACTOR CONTRACT	Asbestos (Q4)	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
	Product liability (24)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
	Medical malpractice (45)	Real Property Eminent domain/Inverse	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
	Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
	Non-PVPD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
	X Business tort/unfair business practice (07)	Other real property (26)	
	Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of Judgment
	Defamation (13)		Enforcement of judgment (20) Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
	Fraud (16)	Commercial (31) Residential (32)	Community
	Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	RICO (27)
			Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
	Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
	Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
	Employment Wrongful termination (36)	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
	1	Writ of mandate (02)	
	2. This case is X is not complete.	Other judicial review (39)	es of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
	factors requiring exceptional judicial manag	ement:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	a. Large number of separately repres		
	b. Extensive motion practice raising of	fifficult or novel e. Coordination w	ith related actions pending in one or more courts
	issues that will be time-consuming	to resolve in other countie	es, states, or countries, or in a federal court 🕟
	c. Substantial amount of documentar		stjudgment judicial supervision
	3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.	X monetary b. X nonmonetary; d	eclaratory or injunctive relief c punitive
	4. Number of causes of action (specify): 2		
Marian		is action suit.	
	6. If there are any known related cases, file ar	nd serve a notice of related case. (You g	pay use form CM-015.)
	Date: Marxh 15, 2011	1400	~
	Daniel F. Gaines, Esq. SBN 25		NATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
		NOTICE	V
	Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the file Probate Code, Formity Code, or Manual Code, or Man	rst paper filed in the action or proceeding	
	in sanctions.	renare and institutions Code), (Cal. Kulet	s of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
	* File this cover sheet in addition to any cover		
	If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et s		must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
	 other parties to the action or proceeding. Unless this is a collections case under rule 	3.740 or a complex case, this cover she	et will be used for statistical ournoses only
			Page 1 of 2
	From Ariented for Mandalory Lise	ONIII OACC COVED CHEET	Grand Call Rules of Court of 64 2 30 3 220 3 400-3 403 3 746

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiffs designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex. CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

```
Auto Tort
```

Auto (22)—Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death)

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or

toxic/environmental) (24)

Medical Malpractice (45)

Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care

Malpractice Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip

and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism)

Intentional Infliction of

Emotional Distress

Negligent Infliction of

Emotional Distress

Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business

Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination,

false arrest) (not civil

harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

(13)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19)

Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice

(not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/VD Tort (35)

Wrongful Termination (36)

Other Employment (15)

Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)

Breach of Rental/Lease

Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)

Contract/Warranty Breach—Seller

Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open

book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff

Other Promissory Note/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18) Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage

Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse

Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)

Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent

domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (If the case involves illegal

drugs, check this item; otherwise.

report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus

Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39)

Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor

Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal.

CM-010

Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)

Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)

Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex

case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20)

Abstract of Judgment (Out of

County)

Confession of Judgment (non-

domestic relations)

Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes)

Petition/Certification of Entry of

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment

Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified

above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only

Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint

Gase (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-comolex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified

above) (43)

Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse

Election Contest

Petition for Name Change

Petition for Relief from Late

Claim Other Civil Petition

			<u> </u>	
знояттик Rosenberg	v.	AIO	CASE NUMBER	BC 457512

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

(GERTH TOATE OF GROWNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COOK THOUSE COOK TOOK
This form is required pursuant to LASC Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.
Item I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case: JURY TRIAL? X YES CLASS ACTION? X YES LIMITED CASE? YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL HOURS! DAY
Item II, Select the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps - If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4):
Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet Form, find the main civil case cover sheet heading for your case i the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.
Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.
Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked.
For any exception to the court location, see Los Angeles Superior Court Local Rule 2.0.
Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (See Column C below)
Class Actions must be filed in the County Courthouse, Central District. Class Action of property or permanently garaged vehicle. New he filed in Central Other county, or an Redit Injury/Property Company. The action where positioner resides.

- 3. Location where cause of action arose.
- 4. Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred.
- 5. Location where performance required or defendant resides.
- Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
- Location where one or more of the parties reside.
- 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office.

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

ţ	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Auto Tort	Auto (22)	A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
Aut	Uninsured Motorist (46)	A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
<u>}</u> =	Asbestos (04)	A6070 Asbestos Property Damage A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2.
roper th To	Product Liability (24)	A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
Injury/P gful Dea	Medical Malpractice (45)	A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4.
Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Tort	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 4.
To To	Business Tort (07)	A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	①, 2., 3.
/Prop Death	Civil Rights (08)	A5005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
injury gful f	Defamation (13)	A6010 Defamation (stander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
onal Włóki	Fraud (16)	A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
Non-Personal Injury/Property Damaga/WR-0691ful Death Tort			

LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07) LASC Approved 03-04

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

LASC, rule 2.0 Page 1 of 4

LA-4B1

] ģ			
O - L	SHORT TITLE Rosenbe	CASE NUMBER	
Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage: Wrongful Death Tort (Cont'd.)	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
sonal Inju Il Death 1	Professional Negligence (25)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.	
Non-Per Wrongt	Other (35)	2., 3.	
Employment	Wrongful Termination (36)	A6037 Wrongful Termination	1,, 2., 3.
Emplo	Other Employment (15)	A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3. 10.
	Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not Unlawful Detainer or wrongful eviction) A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	2, 5 2, 5, 1, 2, 5 1, 2, 5
Contract	Coffections (09)	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.	
ŏ	Insurante Coverage (18)	1., 2., 5., 8.	
200	Other Contract (37)	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.	
≩	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
Property	Wrongful Eviction (33)	A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Real F	Other Real Property (26)	The second secon	
ner	Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31)	1: 1 AROS Uniquely Detainer Commonsaid (and deven as agranation)	
Unlawful Detainer	Unlawful Detainer - Residential (32)	A5020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
	Unfawful Detainer - Drugs (38)	A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.
udiciab Review	Asset Forfeiture (05)	A610B Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
udfelab	Petition re Arbitration (11)	A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.

LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07) LASC Approved 03-04

or promotors of the

			•	
ļ	İ	знояттик: Rosenb	erg v. AIO CASE NUMBER	
a personal	=	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
E describ type-superur	Judicial Review (Cont'd.)	Writ of Mandale (02) Other Judicial Review (39)	A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review A6150 Other Writ / Judicial Review	2., 8. 2. 2. 2.
	n,	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
		Construction Defect (10)	A6007 Construction defect	1., 2., 3.
	Provisionally Complex Littration	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
		Securities Litigation (28)	A6035 Securities Liligation Case	1., 2., 8.
5.77	Provisi	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
		Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
	Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	A6141 Sister State Judgment A6160 Abstract of Judgment A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8., 9
	CIVIE	RICO (27)	A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
2 Project only 1 of 1 o	Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	A6030 Declaratory Relief Only A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	ă E	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8
	Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	A6121 Civil Harassment A6123 Workplace Harassment A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case A6190 Election Contest A6110 Petition for Change of Name A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9.

LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07) LASC Approved 03-04 CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

				•			
SHORTTIME Rosenberg v. A	IO			CASE NUMBER			
Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other circumstance indicated in Item II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected							
REASON: CHECK THE NUMBER UNDER CO				orth Clark Street, Apt. 10			
west Hollywood	STATE: CA	zir code: 90069					
foregoing is true and correct and to of California courthou	Item IV. Declaration of Assignment. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the Superior Court Obstrict of the Los Angeles Superior Court (Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and LASC Local Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c) and (d)).						
Dated: March 15, 2011				CIONATURE OF ATTORNEYIFILING PARTY)			
			Daniel	l F//Gaines, Esq.			

- PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:
- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet form CM-010.
- 4. Complete Addendum to Civil Case Cover Sheet form LACIV 109 (Rev 01/07), LASC Approved 03-04.
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- Signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, JC form FL-935, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age, or if required by Court.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

03/16/1

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT ADR PROGRAMS

CIVIL:

- Civil Action Mediation (Governed by Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) sections 1775-1775.15, California Rules of Count, rules 3.850-3.868 and 3.870-3.878, Evidence Code sections 1115-1128, and Los Angeles Superior Court Rules, chapter 12.)
- Retired Judge Settlement Conference
- Neutral Evaluation (Governed by Los Angeles Superior Court Rules, chapter 12.)
- Judicial Arbitration (Governed by Code of Civil Procedure sections 1141.10-1141.31, California Rules of Court, rules 3.810-3.830, and Los Angeles Superior Court Rules, chapter 12.)
- Eminent Domain Mediation (Governed by Code of Civil Procedure section 1250.420.)
- Civil Harassment Mediation
- Small Claims Mediation

FAMILY LAW (non-custody):

- · Mediation
- Forensic Certified Public Accountant (CPA) Settlement Conference
- Settlement Conference
- Nonbinding Arbitration (Governed by Family Code section 2554.)

PROBATE:

- Mediation
- Settlement Conference

NEUTRAL SELECTION

Parties may select a mediator, neutral evaluator, or arbitrator from the Court Party Select Panel or may hire someone privately, at their discretion. If the parties utilize the Random Select Mediation or Arbitration Panel, the parties will be assigned on a random basis the name of one neutral who meets the case criteria entered on the court's website.

COURT ADR PANELS

Party Select

Panel

The Party Select Panel consists of mediators, neutral evaluators, and arbitrators who have achieved a specified level of experience in court-connected cases. The parties (collectively) may be charged \$150.00 per hour for the first three hours of hearing time. Thereafter, the parties may be charged for additional hearing time on an hourly basis at rates established by the neutral if the parties consent in writing.

Random Select

Panel

The Random Select Panel consists of trained mediators, neutral evaluators, and arbitrators who have not yet gained the experience to qualify for the Party Select Panel, as well as experienced neutrals who make themselves available pro bono as a way of supporting the judicial system. It is the policy of the Court that all Random Select Panel volunteer mediators, neutral evaluators, and arbitrators provide three hours hearing time per case. Thereafter, the parties may be charged for additional hearing time on an hourly basis at rates established by the neutral if the parties consent in writing.

Private Neutral

The market rate for private neutrals can range from \$300-\$1,000 per hour.

ADR ASSISTANCE

For assistance regarding ADR, please contact the ADR clerk at the courthouse in which your case was filed.

			an in the street of the		
Antonovich	42011 4th St. West	None	Lancaster, CA 93534	(681)974-7275	(661)974-7060
Chatsworth	9425 Penfield Ave.	1200	Chatsworth, CA 91311	(818)576-8566	(818)576-8687
Compton	200 W. Compton Blvd.	1002	Compton, CA 90220	(310)603-3072	(310)223-0337
Glendale	600 E. Broadway	273	Glendale, CA 91206	(818)500-3160	(818)548-5470
Long Beach	415 W. Ocean Blvd.	318	Long Beach, CA 90802	(582)491-5272	(582)437-3802
Norwalk	12720 Norwalk Blvd.	308	Norwalk, CA 90650	(582)807-7243	(562)462-9019
Pasadena	300 E. Walnut St.	109	Pasadena, CA 91101	(626)356-5685	(626)666-1774
Pomona	400 Civic Center Plaza	106	Pomoria, CA 91768	(909)620-3183	(909)629-6283
San Pedro	505 S. Centre	209	San Pedro, CA 90731	(310)519-8151	(310)514-0314
Santa Monica	1725 Main St.	203	Santa Monica, CA 90401	(310)260-1829	(310)319-6130
Stanley Mosk	111 N, Hill St.	113	Los Angéles, CA 90012	(213)974-5425	(213)633-5115
Torrance	825 Maple Ave.	100	Torrance, CA 90503	(310)222-1701	(310)782-7326
Van Nuys.	6230 Sylmar Ave.	418	Van Nuys, CA 91401	(818)374-2337	(818)902-2440

Partially Funded by the Los Angeles County Dispute Resolution Program
A complete list of the County Dispute Resolution Programs is available online and upon request in the Clerk's Office

LAADR 005 (Rev. 05/09) LASC Approved 10-03 Page 2 of 2

2049 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 2100 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

28

VENABLE LLP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Stephen V. Wilson and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Jacqueline Chooljian.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV11- 3384 SVW (JCx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge
======================================

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

CIVIL COVER SHEET

	CIVIL COV	ER SHEE!					
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself) Julian Rosenberg, on behalf of himself and all others situated, and on behalf of the general public	similarly	DEFENDANTS AIO Acquisition, Inc., a Delawqare corporation, d/b/a/ Personnel Concepts and Does 1 through 50, inclusive					
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are yourself, provide same.) Kenneth S. Gaines Gaines & Gaines, APLC 21550 Oxnard Street, Suite 980 Woodland Hills, California 91367 Telephone: 818.703.8985	e representing	Attorneys (If Known) Douglas C. Emhoff Dan Chammas Venable LLP 2049 Century Park East, Suite 2100 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: 310.229.9900					
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.)		SHIP OF PRINCIPAL PAX in one box for plaintiff and			Only		
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party	Citizen of This	P'	rf def]1 □1	Incorporated or Proof Business in this		PTF	DEF
2 U.S. Government Defendant A Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Ano	ther State] 2 🔲 2	Incorporated and of Business in An	Principal Place	<u> </u>	⊠ 5
	Citizen or Subj	ect of a Foreign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.)		,		_	_		
☐ 1 Original ☐ 2 Removed from ☐ 3 Remanded from ☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 Transferred from another district (specify): ☐ 6 Multi-☐ 7 Appeal to District Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened District Judge from Litigation Magistrate Judge							
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: Yes No (Check 'Yes' only if demanded in complaint.) CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23: Yes No MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT: \$							
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U. S. Civil Statute under which you (1) Invasion of privacy (Cal. Penal Code Section 630 et se VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.)	are filing and w q.) and (2) N	rite a brief statement of caus egligence	e. Do not c	ite jurisdictional sta	itutes unless div	ersity.)	
410 Antitrust 120 Marine 31 31 430 Banks and Banking 130 Miller Act 31 450 Commerce/ICC 140 Negotiable Instrument 32 32 32 32 33 340	TORTS RSONAL INJUST 10 Airplane 15 Airplane Productiability 20 Assault, Libel Slander 30 Fed. Employe Liability 40 Marine 45 Marine Productiability 50 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 50 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 52 Personal Injury 53 Personal Injury 54 Personal Injury 55 Personal Injury 56 Personal Injury 57 Personal Injury 58 Asbestos Pers 58 Injury Product Liability 59 Asbestos Pers 59 Injury Product Liability 50 MMIGRATION 50 Naturalization 51 Application 52 Other Immigra 55 Other Immigra 55 Other Immigra 55 Other Immigra 55 Other Immigra 56 Other Immigra	PROPERTY uct 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lendi & 380 Other Personal Property Dama Product Liabil BANKRUPTCY ct 22 Appeal 28 USC 158 USC 157 CIVIL RIGHTS I 441 Voting 442 Employment Y- lity Onal t 445 American with Disabilities – Employment Usc 157 CHARDERS HANGE AND ADDRESS USC 157 CIVIL RIGHTS HANGE AND ADDRESS USC 157 CIVIL RIGHTS HANGE AND ADDRESS HANGE AND AD	510 530 530 630 640 650 690	PRISONER PETITIONS Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus General Death Penalty Mandamus/ Other Civil Rights Prison Condition DRFEITURE / PENALTY Agriculture Other Food & Drug Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Liquor Laws R.R.& Truck Airline Regs Occupational Safety /Health Other	☐ 720 Labor// Relatic ☐ 730 Labor// Report Disclor ☐ 740 Railwa ☐ 790 Other I Litigat ☐ 791 Empl. ☐ 820 Copyri ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Traden ☐ 862 Black I ☐ 863 DIWC/ 405(g) ☐ 864 SSID T ☐ 865 RSI (400 FEDERAL ☐ 870 Taxes or Defi	Mgmt. ons Mgmt. ons Mgmt. ing & sure Act y Labor abor ion Ret. Inc. ty Act Y RIGH ghts ark ECURIT OIWW OITH OUTH OUTH OUTH OUTH OUTH OUTH OUTH OU	t Act TY 23) TITS aintiff
AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF	F FORM CV-71	, COMPLETE THE INFO	RMATIO	N REQUESTED B	ELOW.		
		,			•		

CV-71 (05/08)

Case 2:11-cv. Coversheet Civil Coversheet Civil Coversheet

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Ha If yes, list case number(s):	s this action been pr	eviously filed in this court and	d dismissed, remanded or closed? 🛛 No 🗌 Yes			
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have If yes, list case number(s):	any cases been pre	viously filed inthis court that	are related to the present case? 🛛 No 🗌 Yes			
∐ C	Arise from the sam Call for determinat For other reasons v	ne or closely related transaction ion of the same or substantiall would entail substantial duplica	ns, happenings, or events; or ly related or similar questions of law and fact; or ation of labor if heard by different judges; or and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.			
IX. VENUE: (When completing the	following informat	ion, use an additional sheet if	necessary.)			
(a) List the County in this District	California County	outside of this District; State is	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides.			
Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named plaintiff. County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
Los Angeles						
(b) List the County in this District: Check here if the government,	California County of its agencies or empl	outside of this District; State is oyees is a named defendant. It	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. f this box is checked, go to item (c).			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
			Delaware Wisconsin			
(c) List the County in this District: Note: In land condemnation	California County cases, use the locati	outside of this District; State it	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California, or Foreign Country			
Los Angeles						
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Berna Note: In land condemnation cases, u	rdino, Riverside, V se the location of th	entura, Santa Barbara, or S e tract of land involved	an Luis Obispo Counties			
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (,	an Chammas	Date April 20, 2011			
but is used by the Clerk of the C	e CV-71 (JS-44) Civ w. This form, approve Court for the purpose	vil Cover Sheet and the inform ved by the Judicial Conference of statistics, venue and initiati	nation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3 -1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)			
Key to Statistical codes relating to Son Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation		Course of Anti-			
Nature of Sun Code	Abbieviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action				
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))				
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)				
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.				
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))				
CV-71 (05/08)		CIVIL C	OVER SHEET Page 2 of 2			

Page 2 of 2
American LegalNet, Inc.
www.FormsWorkflow.com